

Scattering of Charged Tensor Bosons
in
Gauge and Superstring Theories

Ignatios Antoniadis^{1} and George Savvidy²*

¹ *Department of Physics, CERN Theory Division CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

² *Demokritos National Research Center, Ag. Paraskevi, GR-15310, Athens*

Abstract

We calculate the leading-order scattering amplitude of one vector and two tensor gauge bosons in a recently proposed non-Abelian tensor gauge field theory and open superstring theory. The linear in momenta part of the superstring amplitude has identical Lorentz structure with the gauge theory, while its cubic in momenta part can be identified with an effective Lagrangian which is constructed using generalized non-Abelian field strength tensors.

^{*†} On leave of absence from CPHT École Polytechnique, F-91128, Palaiseau Cedex, France.

1 Introduction

An infinite tower of particles of high spin naturally appears in the spectrum of different string theories. In the zero slope limit massless states of open and closed strings can be identified with vector - Yang-Mills and tensor - graviton gauge quanta [1, 2, 3, 5, 4, 6, 7]. Massive string states can be described by string field theory developed in [8, 9, 10, 12, 11, 13, 14]. Nevertheless to represent the Lagrangian and equations in terms of components of tensor fields still remains a challenge [10]. In this respect higher spin field theories have received large attention [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28] together with the recent development of interacting field theories of high spins [29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36].

As we mentioned the massless states of *open* superstring theory with Chan-Paton charges [37] have been identified with the Yang-Mills gauge quanta [1, 6, 7, 38, 39, 40, 41] and it is of great importance to identify also massive higher spin string states with states of some Lagrangian quantum field theory.

One can imagine that massive states of open string may be described by some extension of Yang-Mills theory to non-Abelian tensor gauge field theory. Such extension of Yang-Mills theory which includes *charged tensor gauge fields* was suggested recently in [42, 43, 44, 45]. Not much is known about physical properties of this gauge field theory and our intension is to compare tree-level scattering amplitudes of tensor gauge bosons in non-Abelian tensor gauge field theory and open superstring theory.

Non-Abelian tensor gauge fields are defined as rank-(s+1) tensor potentials $A_{\mu\lambda_1\dots\lambda_s}^a$.[†] The gauge invariant Lagrangian describing dynamical tensor gauge bosons of all ranks has the form [42, 43, 44, 45]

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{YM} + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3 + \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{YM}$ is the Yang-Mills Lagrangian and defines *cubic and quartic interactions* with *dimensionless coupling constant*.[‡] For the lower-rank tensors, the Lagrangian has the following form [42, 43, 44]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2 = & -\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}^a G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}^a - \frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda}^a \\ & + \frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}^a G_{\mu\lambda,\nu}^a + \frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu,\nu}^a G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda}^a + \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\lambda}^a, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

[†]Tensor gauge fields $A_{\mu\lambda_1\dots\lambda_s}^a(x)$, $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are totally symmetric with respect to the indices $\lambda_1\dots\lambda_s$, but with no *a priori* symmetry with respect to the first index μ . In particular, we have $A_{\mu\lambda}^a \neq A_{\lambda\mu}^a$ and $A_{\mu\lambda\rho}^a = A_{\mu\rho\lambda}^a \neq A_{\lambda\mu\rho}^a$. The adjoint group index $a = 1, \dots, N^2 - 1$ in the case of $SU(N)$ gauge group.

[‡]In D-dimensions the coupling constant has dimension $(4 - D)/2$.

where the generalized gauge field strengths are:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\mu\nu}^a &= \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + gf^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c, \\
G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}^a &= \partial_\mu A_{\nu\lambda}^a - \partial_\nu A_{\mu\lambda}^a + gf^{abc} (A_\mu^b A_{\nu\lambda}^c + A_{\mu\lambda}^b A_\nu^c), \\
G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho}^a &= \partial_\mu A_{\nu\lambda\rho}^a - \partial_\nu A_{\mu\lambda\rho}^a + gf^{abc} (A_\mu^b A_{\nu\lambda\rho}^c + A_{\mu\lambda}^b A_{\nu\rho}^c + A_{\mu\rho}^b A_{\nu\lambda}^c + A_{\mu\lambda\rho}^b A_\nu^c).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

The Lagrangian forms $\mathcal{L}_s$ for higher-rank fields can be found in Refs.[42, 43, 44].

Here we shall focus our attention on the lower-rank tensor gauge field $A_{\mu\lambda}^a$, which decomposes into a symmetric tensor T_S of spin two and an antisymmetric tensor T_A , Poincaré dual of spin zero, charged gauge bosons [44]. The Feynman rules for these propagating modes and their interaction vertices can be extracted from the Lagrangian (1.2) and allow to calculate tree-level scattering amplitudes for processes involving vector and tensor gauge bosons [44].

In the spectrum of open superstring theory with Chan-Paton charges there is also a massless vector gauge boson V on the first excited level and a rank-two massive tensor boson T_S at the second level. The emission vertices for these states are defined as follows (in the zero and -1 ghost picture for V and T_S , respectively) [6, 7, 38]:

$$\begin{aligned}
V : \quad & e_\mu(k)(\dot{X}^\mu - 2i\alpha' k \cdot \psi \psi^\mu) e^{ikX} & \alpha' k^2 &= 0 \\
T_S : \quad & \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(k) \psi^{\{\mu} (\dot{X}^{\nu\}} - 2i\alpha' k \cdot \psi \psi^{\nu\}) e^{ikX} & \alpha' k^2 &= -1.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

and allow to calculate different tree level scattering amplitudes involving vector and tensor bosons V and T_S . *Our intension is to compare tree-level scattering amplitudes of tensor gauge bosons in the above non-Abelian tensor gauge field theory and in open superstring theory.*

We have found that the *linear in momenta* part of the 3-point superstring amplitude has similar Lorentz structure with the one in gauge theory defined by the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$ (1.2) and that the *cubic in momenta* part of the superstring amplitude can be identified with an effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_\partial$ (6.23) and $\mathcal{L}'_\partial$ (6.24) constructed using non-Abelian field strength tensors (1.3). This result suggests that most probably non-Abelian tensor gauge field theory describes a sub-sector of excited states of open superstring theory with higher helicities, similar to a Yang-Mills theory describing the first excited state. More complicated amplitudes should be analyzed in order to solidify this proposal.

2 VTT Amplitude in Tensor Gauge Theory

In *massless* tensor gauge field theory, the on-shell tensor-vector-tensor amplitude VTT is [46]

$$M_{gauge\ theory} = \varepsilon_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(k_1)e_{\beta}(k_3)\varepsilon_{\gamma\gamma'}(k_2)\mathcal{F}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\beta\gamma\gamma'}(k_1, k_3, k_2)\delta(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\beta\gamma\gamma'}(k_1, k_3, k_2) = & + k_{12}^{\beta} (\eta^{\alpha\gamma}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma}) \\ & + \frac{1}{4}k_{23}^{\alpha} (\eta^{\beta\gamma}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\beta\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma}) \\ & + \frac{1}{4}k_{23}^{\alpha'} (\eta^{\beta\gamma}\eta^{\alpha\gamma'} + \eta^{\beta\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha\gamma}) \\ & + \frac{1}{4}k_{31}^{\gamma} (\eta^{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta}\eta^{\alpha\gamma'}) \\ & + \frac{1}{4}k_{31}^{\gamma'} (\eta^{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta}\eta^{\alpha\gamma}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $k_i^2 = 0$ $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $k_{ij} = k_i - k_j$. It is important that in this massless theory the momentum conservation $\delta(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)$ has a nontrivial solution

$$k_1 = (\omega, 0, 0, r), \quad k_3 = (\omega, 0, 0, r), \quad k_2 = (-2\omega, 0, 0, -2r)$$

($\omega^2 = r^2$) that can be deformed by a complex parameter z [47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53],

$$k_1 = (\omega, z, iz, r), \quad k_3 = (\omega, -z, -iz, r), \quad k_2 = (-2\omega, 0, 0, -2r). \quad (2.7)$$

Thus, the above expression for VTT $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\beta\gamma\gamma'}(k_1, k_3, k_2)$ has a *nonzero phase space of validity* which is parameterized by the complex parameter z (2.7). Depending on polarizations of scattered particles one can see that there are only four non-zero helicity amplitudes $M(+2, +1, -2)$, $M(-2, +1, +2)$, $M(+2, -1, -2)$ and $M(-2, -1, +2)$.

Contracting Lorentz indices one can see that in *tensor gauge theory* the amplitude (2.5), (2.6) can explicitly be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} M_{gauge\ theory} &= \varepsilon_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(k)e_{\beta}(p)\varepsilon_{\gamma\gamma'}(q)\mathcal{F}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\beta\gamma\gamma'}(k, p, q)\delta(k + p + q) = \\ &= 4(k \cdot e_p) (\varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q) - 2(p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot e_p) + 2(e_p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Furthermore, one should take into account that all particles are massless $k^2 = p^2 = q^2 = 0$ and that the momentum conservation $k + p + q = 0$ gives $k \cdot p = p \cdot q = q \cdot k = 0$. Thus the

VTT amplitude is nontrivial only if one considers complex momenta (2.7) or the space-time signature $\eta^{\mu\nu} = (- + - +)$. The polarization vectors and tensors we shall take are then in the form

$$e_k^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{z}{\omega}, 1, -i, -\frac{z}{r}\right), \quad e_p^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\frac{z}{\omega}, 1, -i, \frac{z}{r}\right), \quad e_q^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, i, 0)$$

where $\varepsilon_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(k) = e_\alpha(k)e_{\dot{\alpha}}(k)$ and $\varepsilon_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}(q) = e_\gamma(q)e_{\dot{\gamma}}(q)$. We can calculate now the amplitude (2.8) using the relations $e_k \cdot e_q = e_p \cdot e_q = 1$, $k \cdot e_p = -p \cdot e_k = 2\sqrt{2}z$ and $e_k \cdot e_p = 0$

$$M(+2, +1, -2)_{gauge \ theory} = \tag{2.9}$$

$$4(k \cdot e_p) (\varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q) - 2(p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot e_p) + 2(e_p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p) = 12\sqrt{2}z,$$

so that *the VTT amplitude has non-trivial analytical continuation and is proportional to the deformation parameter z* . In the same way one can compute other polarization amplitudes.

3 Mass-shell gauge invariance of VTT in tensor gauge theory

The expression for the VTT amplitude (2.8) is on *mass-shell gauge invariant*

$$e_\beta(p) \rightarrow e_\beta(p) + \xi p_\beta$$

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}(k) \rightarrow \varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}(k) + k_\alpha \xi_{\alpha'} + k_{\alpha'} \xi_\alpha, \quad k^2 = 0, \quad k \cdot \xi = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

where ξ and ξ_α are gauge parameters. Indeed the gauge variation of (2.8) under (3.10) $\delta e_p \sim p$ is

$$\delta M_{gauge \ theory} = 4(k \cdot p) (\varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q) - 2(p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p) + 2(p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p) = 0 \tag{3.11}$$

and under (3.10) $\delta \varepsilon_k \sim k \otimes \xi + \xi \otimes k$ is

$$\delta M_{gauge \ theory} = 8(k \cdot e_p) (k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot \xi) - \tag{3.12}$$

$$- 2(p \cdot k) (\xi \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot e_p) - 2(p \cdot \xi) (k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot e_p) + 2(e_p \cdot k) (\xi \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p) + 2(e_p \cdot \xi) (k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p) = 0,$$

because $p \cdot k = 0$, $k \cdot e_q = p \cdot e_q = 0$. Thus, in tensor gauge field theory, the VTT amplitude gets non-trivial values in four cases $M(+2, +1, -2)$, $M(-2, +1, +2)$, $M(+2, -1, -2)$, $M(-2, -1, +2)$ and is explicitly gauge invariant quantity. This completes the analysis of VTT scattering amplitude in tensor gauge field theory.

4 *VTT Amplitude in Superstring Theory*

In open superstring theory, the *linear in momenta* part (7.37), (7.40) of the full VTT amplitude (7.35), (7.36) for *massless vector and massive tensors* in ten dimensions is given by the expression[§]

$$M_{string\ theory} = \varepsilon_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(k_1)e_{\beta}(k_3)\varepsilon_{\gamma\gamma'}(k_2) F^{\alpha\alpha'\beta\gamma\gamma'}(k_1, k_3, k_2) \delta(k_1 + k_2 + k_3), \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\alpha\alpha'\beta\gamma\gamma'}(k_1, k_3, k_2) = & + k_{12}^{\beta} (\eta^{\alpha\gamma}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma}) \\ & + k_{23}^{\alpha} (\eta^{\beta\gamma}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\beta\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma}) \\ & + k_{23}^{\alpha'} (\eta^{\beta\gamma}\eta^{\alpha\gamma'} + \eta^{\beta\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha\gamma}) \\ & + k_{31}^{\gamma} (\eta^{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta}\eta^{\alpha\gamma'}) \\ & + k_{31}^{\gamma'} (\eta^{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta}\eta^{\alpha\gamma}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Formally comparing these amplitudes in tensor gauge theory (2.6) and in string theory (4.14) one can see that they have identical Lorentz structure and are linear in momenta. But there is a difference in coefficients between these two expressions in last four terms: 1/4 in gauge theory and 1 in string theory. It seems that this may contradict to the gauge invariance of both scattering amplitudes. But one should keep in mind that in string theory tensor particles are massive and momentum conservation equation has no solutions unlike the massless case. Nevertheless we shall try to define the amplitude in string theory by taking a special limit and then to demonstrate that it is also on mass-shell gauge invariant.

Indeed in string theory tensor states are massive $m_T^2 = 1/\alpha'$ and the vector boson is massless $m_V^2 = 0$, therefore the momentum conservation $\delta(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)$ *has no solutions at all*. The expression for the amplitude (4.13) has therefore a formal character because it is multiplied by a delta function which vanishes identically. The idea is to find a reasonable extension of the string scattering amplitude considering some non-trivial limit that will allow to define it away from the zeros of the delta function. Let us first consider the wave

[§]The details of the calculation are given in section 7 and in Appendix.

function of a massive tensor

$$e_{\alpha\alpha'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon^2 = r^2 + m_T^2 \quad (4.15)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -r/m_T & 0 & 0 \\ -r/m_T & 0 & 0 & \epsilon/m_T \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon/m_T & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -r/m_T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -r/m_T & 0 & 0 & \epsilon/m_T \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon/m_T & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} r^2/m_T^2 & 0 & 0 & -r\epsilon/m_T^2 \\ 0 & -1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/2 & 0 \\ -r\epsilon/m_T^2 & 0 & 0 & 1 + r^2/m_T^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the first two wave functions correspond to helicities ± 2 , the next two correspond to helicities ± 1 and the last one to 0. In the limit $m_T \rightarrow \infty$ and $r \rightarrow 0$ wave functions have a well defined limit and can be used to select only helicity ± 2 states as in the tensor gauge field theory. Thus we are selecting only a subclass of superstring amplitudes with higher helicities.

Now considering the momentum conservation equation for the choice[¶]

$$k_1 = (m_T, 0, 0, 0, \dots), \quad k_3 = (\omega, 0, 0, r, \dots), \quad k_2 = (-\sqrt{r^2 + m_T^2}, 0, 0, -r, \dots),$$

and its complex deformation

$$k_1 = (m_T, z, iz, 0, \dots), \quad k_3 = (\omega, -z, -iz, r, \dots), \quad k_2 = (-\sqrt{r^2 + m_T^2}, 0, 0, -r, \dots)$$

one can see that the equation fulfills if $2m_T r = 0$. Therefore, if we take the limit $m_T \rightarrow \infty$, $r \rightarrow 0$, so that $m_T r \rightarrow 0$, then the momentum conservation indeed can be fulfilled. Thus it seems possible to define this amplitude in superstring model. Physically, this limit corresponds to the interaction between infinitely heavy tensor bosons ($m_T \rightarrow \infty$) and massless vector bosons which are in the deep infrared region of the spectrum ($r \rightarrow 0$). It is like an exchange interaction between very heavy ions at rest and photons of tiny energy.

Thus in *superstring theory* the amplitude (4.13), (4.14) can be written in the form

$$M_{string \ theory} = \varepsilon_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(k) e_{\beta}(p) \varepsilon_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}(q) F^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\beta\gamma\dot{\gamma}}(k, p, q) =$$

$$= 4(k \cdot e_p) (\varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q) - 8(p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot e_p) + 8(e_p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p). \quad (4.16)$$

[¶] Without loss of generality, all momenta and components of the polarization tensors in the additional six space dimensions will be taken equal to zero. Note also that discussing only the properties of massless and massive modes of strings without loop corrections we could restrict ourselves to lower dimensions.

The momenta we shall take as above:

$$k = (m_T, z, iz, 0, \dots), \quad p = (\omega, -z, -iz, r, \dots), \quad q = (-\sqrt{r^2 + m_T^2}, 0, 0, -r, \dots), \quad (4.17)$$

($\omega^2 = r^2$) and the polarization tensors can be chosen as follows

$$e_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\frac{2z}{m_T}, 1, -i, -\frac{2z}{m_T}, \dots), \quad e_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-\frac{z}{\omega}, 1, -i, \frac{z}{r}, \dots), \quad e_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, i, 0, \dots)$$

where $\varepsilon_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(k) = e_\alpha(k)e_{\dot{\alpha}}(k)$, $\varepsilon_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}(q) = e_\gamma(q)e_{\dot{\gamma}}(q)$. We can calculate now the amplitude (4.16) using the relations $e_k \cdot e_p = 0$, $e_k \cdot e_q = 1$, $e_p \cdot e_q = 1$, $k \cdot e_p = z(2 + m_T/r)/\sqrt{2}$, $p \cdot e_k = -z(2 + 4r/m_T)/\sqrt{2}$. Thus we shall get nontrivial analytical continuation of the VTT amplitude in string theory

$$\begin{aligned} M(+2, +1, -2)_{string \ theory} &= 4(k \cdot e_p) (\varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q) - 8(p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot e_p) + \\ &+ 8(e_p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p) = 12\sqrt{2}z + 2\sqrt{2}z(\frac{m_T}{r} + 8\frac{r}{m_T}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

which has a part which is identical with the massless tensor gauge theory $12\sqrt{2}z$ in (2.9) and an additional part which depends on the mass of the tensor particle m_T . One should take now the limit $m_T \rightarrow \infty$, $r \rightarrow 0$, $m_T r \rightarrow 0$, keeping $z\frac{m_T}{r} = Z$ fixed, so that $M(+2, +1, -2)_{string} = 2\sqrt{2}Z$.

5 Mass-shell gauge invariance in superstring theory

This expression is also *on mass-shell gauge invariant*

$$\begin{aligned} e_\beta(p) &\rightarrow e_\beta(p) + \xi p_\beta \\ \varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}(k) &\rightarrow \varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}(k) + k_\alpha \xi_{\alpha'} + k_{\alpha'} \xi_\alpha, \quad k^2 = -m_T^2, \quad k \cdot \xi = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

where ξ and ξ_α are gauge parameters. The gauge variation of (4.16) under (5.19) $\delta e_p \sim p$ is

$$\delta M_{string \ theory} = 4(k \cdot p) (\varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q) - 8(p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p) + 8(p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p) = 4rm_T \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.20)$$

and under (5.19) $\delta \varepsilon_k \sim k \otimes \xi + \xi \otimes k$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta M_{string \ theory} &= 8(k \cdot e_p) (k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot \xi) - \\ &- 8(p \cdot k) (\xi \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot e_p) - 8(p \cdot \xi) (k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot e_p) + 8(e_p \cdot k) (\xi \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p) + 8(e_p \cdot \xi) (k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p) = \\ &= -8rm_T (\xi \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot e_p) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

because $p \cdot k = rm_T \rightarrow 0$, $k \cdot e_q = 0$, $p \cdot e_q = 0$. Thus, this expression is also gauge invariant on mass-shell for gauge variations ξ_α in any direction of the ten dimensional space-time.

6 Effective Action in Terms of Tensor Gauge Fields

In the *full superstring amplitude* (7.35), (7.36) together with the linear part (7.37) we have also an additional term which is cubic k^3 in momenta

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha' \{ & -\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} k_3^\alpha k_1^\gamma k_2^\beta + \eta^{\beta\gamma'} k_3^\alpha k_2^{\alpha'} k_1^\gamma - \eta^{\beta\alpha'} k_2^\alpha k_3^\gamma k_1^{\gamma'} + \\
& + (k_2 \cdot k_3) k_2^{\alpha'} (\eta^{\alpha\gamma'} \eta^{\beta\gamma} - \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\beta\gamma'}) + (k_1 \cdot k_3) k_3^{\gamma'} (\eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} - \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\beta}) + \\
& + (k_1 \cdot k_2) [(\eta^{\beta\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} - \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} \eta^{\beta\gamma'}) k_3^\alpha + (\eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\beta\gamma'} - \eta^{\gamma\beta} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}) k_3^{\alpha'} \\
& + (\eta^{\alpha\gamma'} \eta^{\beta\alpha'} - \eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}) k_3^\gamma + (\eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} - \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\beta}) k_3^{\gamma'} \\
& + (\eta^{\alpha\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} - \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}) k_1^\beta] \}. \tag{6.22}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular it contains scalar products $k_1 \cdot k_2$, $k_1 \cdot k_3$ and $k_2 \cdot k_3$. In the three particle scattering amplitude, which we are considering here, they can take only fixed values $k_1 \cdot k_3 = k_2 \cdot k_3 = 0$, $2\alpha' k_1 \cdot k_2 = -\alpha' (k_1^2 + k_2^2) = 2$, therefore they do not appear in the final expression (7.36). Nevertheless let us keep them all, as they are, in order to examine if they can be reproduced by an effective Lagrangian which is constructed using generalized field strength tensors (1.3).

Naturally we should try to associate these cubic terms with a gauge invariant effective Lagrangian which has higher derivatives. Indeed, there are two independent gauge invariant forms which can be constructed in tensor gauge field theory using the field strengths (1.3) and are cubic in derivatives

$$\mathcal{L}_\partial = \alpha' [Tr(G_{\mu\nu,\lambda} G_{\nu\rho} G_{\rho\mu,\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} Tr(G_{\mu\nu} G_{\nu\rho,\lambda\lambda} G_{\rho\mu})] \tag{6.23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}'_\partial = \alpha' [& -Tr(G_{\mu\nu,\lambda} G_{\nu\rho} G_{\rho\mu,\lambda}) + Tr(G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda} G_{\mu\nu} G_{\nu\rho,\rho}) + Tr(G_{\mu\nu,\lambda} G_{\mu\rho} G_{\rho\lambda,\nu}) + \\
& + Tr(G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\rho,\nu} G_{\rho\lambda,\lambda}) + Tr(G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\rho,\lambda} G_{\rho\lambda,\nu}) + Tr(G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\rho,\lambda} G_{\rho\nu,\lambda}) + \\
& + Tr(G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda} G_{\mu\nu,\rho} G_{\nu\rho}) + Tr(G_{\mu\nu,\lambda} G_{\mu\rho,\lambda} G_{\rho\nu}) + Tr(G_{\mu\nu,\lambda} G_{\mu\rho,\nu} G_{\rho\lambda}) + \\
& + 2Tr(G_{\mu\nu} G_{\nu\lambda,\rho\mu} G_{\rho\lambda}) - Tr(G_{\mu\nu} G_{\nu\rho,\lambda\lambda} G_{\rho\mu})] \tag{6.24}
\end{aligned}$$

It is interesting that reproducing the higher derivative part (6.22) of the VTT vertex, there are no “traces” of any higher derivative string (gravity) vertex VVT between two vectors and a tensor (two photons and a graviton). What is also striking is that one reproduces

all terms with scalar products of momenta $k_1 \cdot k_2$, $k_1 \cdot k_3$ and $k_2 \cdot k_3$ in (6.22). In our on-mass-shell scattering amplitude they have fixed values and did not “show up”, but they will certainly contribute to other more complicated amplitudes. Therefore it is important that they are present in the effective Lagrangian.

In the next section we shall present the actual calculation of the superstring scattering amplitude (4.13), (7.35). As our calculation shows, the $(\alpha')^4 k^5$ terms are absent in superstring theory.

7 Open Type I Superstring Tree-Level Amplitudes

To set up notation let us begin with the simplest example of the tree-level scattering amplitude for three on-shell massless vector bosons. The vertex operator has the following form^{||} [6, 7]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}^0 &= e_\alpha(k)(\dot{X}^\alpha - 2i\alpha' k \cdot \psi\psi^\alpha)e^{ikX}(y) \\ \mathcal{V}^{-1} &= e_\alpha(k)e^{-\phi}\psi^\alpha e^{ikX}(y)\end{aligned}\tag{7.25}$$

and we shall represent the disk as the upper half-plane so that the boundary coordinate y is real $y \in [-\infty, +\infty]$. The tree amplitude can take the form

$$\mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2 a_3}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = F^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}(k_1, k_2, k_3) \text{tr}(\lambda^{a_1} \lambda^{a_2} \lambda^{a_3}) + F^{\mu_2 \mu_1 \mu_3}(k_2, k_1, k_3) \text{tr}(\lambda^{a_2} \lambda^{a_1} \lambda^{a_3}),$$

where λ^a are isotopic matrices and the matrix element F is given below

$$\begin{aligned}F^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}(k_1, k_2, k_3) &= \langle c\mathcal{V}^{-1}(y_1) c\mathcal{V}^{-1}(y_2) c\mathcal{V}^0(y_3) = \\ &= \langle ce^{-\phi}\psi^{\mu_1}e^{ik_1X}(y_1) ce^{-\phi}\psi^{\mu_2}e^{ik_2X}(y_2) c(\dot{X}^{\mu_3} - 2i\alpha' k_3 \cdot \psi\psi^{\mu_3})e^{ik_3X}(y_3) \rangle \\ &= y_{12} y_{23} y_{13} y_{12}^{-1} \\ &\{F_{y_3}^{\mu_3} \eta^{\mu_1 \mu_2} y_{12}^{-1} + 2i\alpha' k_3^{\mu_1} y_{13}^{-1} \eta^{\mu_2 \mu_3} y_{23}^{-1} - 2i\alpha' k_3^{\mu_2} y_{23}^{-1} \eta^{\mu_1 \mu_3} y_{13}^{-1}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $y_{ij} = y_i - y_j$, $y_3 < y_2 < y_1$ and we have to sum over two orderings of the vertex operators on the disk. The vector function $F_{y_3}^{\mu_3}$ is given by the expression

$$F_{y_3}^{\mu_3} = -2i\alpha' \left(\frac{k_1^{\mu_3}}{y_3 - y_1} + \frac{k_2^{\mu_3}}{y_3 - y_2} \right) = -2i\alpha' \frac{k_1^{\mu_3} y_{12}}{y_{13} y_{23}}.$$

^{||}In eq. (7.25) and below, the superscript -1 stands for the (-1)-ghost picture.

The relevant correlation functions are

$$< c(y_1)c(y_2)c(y_3) >= y_{12} y_{23} y_{13}, \quad < e^{-\phi}(y_1)e^{-\phi}(y_2) >= y_{12}^{-1},$$

while the contraction of world-sheet fermions is

$$< \psi^\mu(y_1)\psi^\nu(y_2) >= \eta^{\mu\nu} y_{12}^{-1}.$$

All bosons are on mass-shell $\alpha' k_1^2 = \alpha' k_2^2 = \alpha' k_3^2 = 0$ and $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = 0$. Their wave functions are $e_{\mu_1}(k_1)$, $e_{\mu_2}(k_2)$, $e_{\mu_3}(k_3)$ and are transversal to the corresponding momenta $k_i \cdot e(k_i) = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. One sees that the matrix element $F^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}$ is linear in momentum

$$[F^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}] \sim \alpha' k. \quad (7.26)$$

Unlike the bosonic open string amplitude, there is no k^3 term and so no G^3 term in the low energy effective action. Thus for the $F^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(k_1, k_2, k_3) \text{tr}(\lambda^{a_1} \lambda^{a_2} \lambda^{a_3})$ we have

$$2i\alpha' [k_3^{\mu_1} \eta^{\mu_2\mu_3} - k_3^{\mu_2} \eta^{\mu_1\mu_3} - k_1^{\mu_3} \eta^{\mu_1\mu_2}] \text{tr}(\lambda^{a_1} \lambda^{a_2} \lambda^{a_3}). \quad (7.27)$$

Adding the equal term** $2i\alpha' [-k_2^{\mu_1} \eta^{\mu_2\mu_3} + k_1^{\mu_2} \eta^{\mu_3\mu_1} + k_2^{\mu_3} \eta^{\mu_1\mu_2}] \text{tr}(\lambda^{a_1} \lambda^{a_2} \lambda^{a_3})$ and the reversed cyclic orientation amplitude $a_1, \mu_1, k_1 \leftrightarrow a_2, \mu_2, k_2$, we can get the total matrix element:

$$i\alpha' [(k_3 - k_2)^{\mu_1} \eta^{\mu_2\mu_3} + (k_1 - k_3)^{\mu_2} \eta^{\mu_3\mu_1} + (k_2 - k_1)^{\mu_3} \eta^{\mu_1\mu_2}] \text{tr}([\lambda^{a_1}, \lambda^{a_2}] \lambda^{a_3}). \quad (7.28)$$

This expression coincides with the Yang-Mills vertex projected to the mass-shell.

7.1 Tree-Level Amplitude for Two Symmetric Tensors and a Vector

The vertex operator for the symmetric rank-2 tensor boson T_S on the second level is

$$\mathcal{V}^{-1} = \varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}(k) e^{-\phi} \psi^\alpha (\dot{X}^{\alpha'} - 2i\alpha' k \cdot \psi \psi^{\alpha'}) e^{ikX}(y) \quad (7.29)$$

and together with the vertex (7.25) can be used to calculate now the scattering amplitude between a vector and two tensor bosons:

$$\mathcal{V}_{abc}^{\alpha\alpha' \beta\gamma\gamma'}(k, p, q) = F^{\alpha\alpha' \beta\gamma\gamma'}(k, p, q) \text{tr}(\lambda^a \lambda^b \lambda^c) + F^{\gamma\gamma' \beta\alpha\alpha'}(q, p, k) \text{tr}(\lambda^c \lambda^b \lambda^a) \quad (7.30)$$

**One should use momentum conservation and transversality of the wave functions.

where their wave functions are:

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}(k), \quad e_\beta(p), \quad \varepsilon_{\gamma\gamma'}(q). \quad (7.31)$$

We shall define for convenience $k_1 \equiv k, k_2 \equiv q, k_3 \equiv p$, and $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = 0$. The mass-shell conditions are

$$\alpha' k_1^2 = \alpha' k_2^2 = -1, \quad \alpha' k_3^2 = 0 \quad (7.32)$$

and therefore it follows that

$$k_1 \cdot k_3 = k_2 \cdot k_3 = 0, \quad 2\alpha' k_1 \cdot k_2 = -\alpha' (k_1^2 + k_2^2) = 2. \quad (7.33)$$

We have to calculate the correlation function:

$$\begin{aligned} <: ce^{-\phi} \psi^\alpha (\dot{X}^{\alpha'} - 2i\alpha' k \cdot \psi \psi^{\alpha'}) e^{ikX}(y_1) : & : ce^{-\phi} \psi^\gamma (\dot{X}^{\gamma'} - 2i\alpha' q \cdot \psi \psi^{\gamma'}) e^{iqX}(y_2) : \\ & : c(\dot{X}^\beta - 2i\alpha' p \cdot \psi \psi^\beta) e^{ipX}(y_3) : > \end{aligned} \quad (7.34)$$

We shall split it into four terms. The first one gives (the details of the calculation are given in the Appendix)

$$\begin{aligned} < ce^{-\phi} \psi^\alpha \dot{X}^{\alpha'} e^{ik_1 X}(y_1) ce^{-\phi} \psi^\gamma \dot{X}^{\gamma'} e^{ik_2 X}(y_2) c(\dot{X}^\beta - 2i\alpha' k_3 \cdot \psi \psi^\beta) e^{ik_3 X}(y_3) > = \\ & = i(2\alpha')^2 [\eta^{\alpha\gamma} (\eta^{\beta\gamma'} k_2^{\alpha'} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta} k_3^{\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} k_1^\beta) + \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} (\eta^{\alpha\beta} k_3^\gamma + \eta^{\beta\gamma} k_2^\alpha)] \\ & \quad (-2i\alpha')^3 [\eta^{\alpha\gamma} k_1^\beta + \eta^{\alpha\beta} k_3^\gamma + \eta^{\gamma\beta} k_2^\alpha] k_2^{\alpha'} k_3^{\gamma'} \end{aligned}$$

and contains *linear as well as cubic in momentum expressions*. The other three remaining terms have only cubic in momentum expressions. Indeed the second one gives

$$\begin{aligned} < ce^{-\phi} \psi^\alpha \dot{X}^{\alpha'} e^{ik_1 X}(y_1) ce^{-\phi} \psi^\gamma (-2i\alpha') k_2 \cdot \psi \psi^{\gamma'} e^{ik_2 X}(y_2) c(\dot{X}^\beta - 2i\alpha' k_3 \cdot \psi \psi^\beta) e^{ik_3 X}(y_3) > = \\ & = (-2i\alpha')^3 k_2^{\alpha'} [\eta^{\alpha\gamma} k_2^\beta k_3^{\gamma'} + \eta^{\beta\gamma'} k_2^\alpha k_3^\gamma - \eta^{\beta\gamma} k_2^\alpha k_3^{\gamma'} - \eta^{\alpha\gamma'} k_2^\beta k_3^\gamma + k_2 \cdot k_3 (\eta^{\alpha\gamma'} \eta^{\beta\gamma} - \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\beta\gamma'})] \end{aligned}$$

and contains *only cubic in momentum terms*. A new feature of this expression is that it contains a scalar product $(k_2 \cdot k_3)$. The third one gives

$$\begin{aligned} < ce^{-\phi} \psi^\alpha (-2i\alpha') k_1 \cdot \psi \psi^{\alpha'} e^{ik_1 X}(y_1) ce^{-\phi} \psi^\gamma \dot{X}^{\gamma'} e^{ik_2 X}(y_2) c(\dot{X}^\beta - 2i\alpha' k_3 \cdot \psi \psi^\beta) e^{ik_3 X}(y_3) > = \\ & = (-2i\alpha')^3 k_3^{\gamma'} [\eta^{\alpha\gamma} k_1^\beta k_3^{\alpha'} - \eta^{\alpha\beta} k_3^{\alpha'} k_1^\gamma + \eta^{\beta\alpha'} k_3^\alpha k_1^\gamma - \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} k_1^\beta k_3^\alpha + k_1 \cdot k_3 (\eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} - \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\beta})] \end{aligned}$$

and also contains *only cubic in momentum expressions* as well as a scalar product $(k_1 \cdot k_3)$. Finally, the last term gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& < c e^{-\phi} \psi^\alpha (-2i\alpha') k_1 \cdot \psi \psi^{\alpha'} e^{ik_1 X}(y_1) c e^{-\phi} \psi^\gamma (-2i\alpha') k_2 \cdot \psi \psi^{\gamma'} c (\dot{X}^\beta - 2i\alpha' k_3 \cdot \psi \psi^\beta) e^{ik_3 X}(y_3) > \\
& = (-2i\alpha')^3 \\
& \{ + k_1^\beta [\eta^{\alpha\gamma} (-k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + k_1^{\gamma'} k_2^{\alpha'}) - k_2^\alpha (-k_1^\gamma \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + k_1^{\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}) + \eta^{\alpha\gamma'} (-k_1^\gamma k_2^{\alpha'} + k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma})] \\
& - k_3^\alpha [\eta^{\beta\gamma} (-k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + k_1^{\gamma'} k_2^{\alpha'}) - k_2^\beta (-k_1^\gamma \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + k_1^{\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}) + \eta^{\beta\gamma'} (-k_1^\gamma k_2^{\alpha'} + k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma})] \\
& - k_3^{\alpha'} [\eta^{\beta\gamma} (k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha\gamma'} - k_1^{\gamma'} k_2^\alpha) - k_2^\beta (-k_1^{\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha\gamma} + k_1^\gamma \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}) + \eta^{\beta\gamma'} (k_1^\gamma k_2^\alpha - k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha\gamma})] \\
& + k_3^\gamma [\eta^{\alpha\beta} (-k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + k_1^{\gamma'} k_2^{\alpha'}) - k_1^\beta (-k_2^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + k_2^{\alpha'} \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}) + \eta^{\beta\alpha'} (-k_1^{\gamma'} k_2^\alpha + k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha\gamma'})] \\
& + k_3^{\gamma'} [\eta^{\alpha\beta} (k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha\gamma} - k_1^\gamma k_2^{\alpha'}) - k_1^\beta (k_2^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} - k_2^{\alpha'} \eta^{\alpha\gamma}) + \eta^{\beta\alpha'} (k_1^\gamma k_2^\alpha - k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha\gamma})] \\
& + k_1 \cdot k_3 [\eta^{\beta\gamma} (k_2^{\alpha'} \eta_1^{\alpha\gamma'} - k_2^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}) - k_2^\beta (-\eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}) + \eta^{\beta\gamma'} (k_2^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} - k_2^{\alpha'} \eta^{\alpha\gamma})] \\
& - k_2 \cdot k_3 [\eta^{\alpha\beta} (k_1^{\gamma'} \eta_1^{\alpha\gamma} - k_1^\gamma \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}) - k_1^\beta (-\eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}) + \eta^{\beta\alpha'} (k_1^\gamma \eta^{\alpha\gamma'} - k_1^{\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha\gamma})] \}
\end{aligned}$$

and again contains *only cubic in momentum expressions* as well as a scalar product $(k_1 \cdot k_2)$. The scalar products $k_1 \cdot k_3$ and $k_2 \cdot k_3$ can be dropped because of (7.33). Summing all remaining terms together one can see that many terms which are cubic in momentum cancel each other so that we are left with the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
& i(2\alpha')^2 [\eta^{\alpha\gamma} (\eta^{\beta\gamma'} k_2^{\alpha'} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta} k_3^{\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} k_1^\beta) + \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} (\eta^{\alpha\beta} k_3^\gamma + \eta^{\beta\gamma} k_2^\alpha)] + \\
& (-2i\alpha')^3 \{ -\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} k_3^\alpha k_1^\gamma k_2^\beta + \eta^{\beta\gamma'} k_3^\alpha k_2^{\alpha'} k_1^\gamma - \eta^{\beta\alpha'} k_2^\alpha k_3^\gamma k_1^{\gamma'} \} + \\
& (k_1 \cdot k_2) [+ (\eta^{\beta\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} - \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} \eta^{\beta\gamma'}) k_3^\alpha + (\eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\beta\gamma'} - \eta^{\gamma\beta} \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}) k_3^{\alpha'} \\
& + (\eta^{\alpha\gamma'} \eta^{\beta\alpha'} - \eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}) k_3^\gamma + (\eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} - \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\beta}) k_3^{\gamma'} \\
& + (\eta^{\alpha\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} - \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}) k_1^\beta] \}. \tag{7.35}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the terms proportional to the nonzero product $2\alpha' k_1 \cdot k_2 = 2$ (7.33) can also be dropped because they are antisymmetric with respect to the indices $\alpha\alpha'$ and $\gamma\gamma'$ while the wave functions $\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}$ and $\varepsilon_{\gamma\gamma'}$ are symmetric.

Thus, we arrive to the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}
& i(2\alpha')^2 [\eta^{\alpha\gamma} (\eta^{\beta\gamma'} k_2^{\alpha'} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta} k_3^{\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} k_1^\beta) + \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} (\eta^{\alpha\beta} k_3^\gamma + \eta^{\beta\gamma} k_2^\alpha)] + \\
& (-2i\alpha')^3 [-\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} k_3^\alpha k_1^\gamma k_2^\beta + \eta^{\beta\gamma'} k_3^\alpha k_2^{\alpha'} k_1^\gamma - \eta^{\beta\alpha'} k_2^\alpha k_3^\gamma k_1^{\gamma'}], \tag{7.36}
\end{aligned}$$

which is *linear and cubic in momenta*. Its linear in momenta part is

$$4[\eta^{\alpha\gamma} (\eta^{\beta\gamma'} q^{\alpha'} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta} p^{\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} k^\beta) + \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} (\eta^{\alpha\beta} p^\gamma + \eta^{\beta\gamma} q^\alpha)]. \tag{7.37}$$

It should be symmetrized over $\alpha\alpha'$ and $\gamma\gamma'$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (\eta^{\alpha\gamma}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma})k^\beta \\
& + (\eta^{\beta\gamma}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\beta\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma})q^\alpha \\
& + (\eta^{\beta\gamma}\eta^{\alpha\gamma'} + \eta^{\beta\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha\gamma})q^{\alpha'} \\
& + (\eta^{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta}\eta^{\alpha\gamma'})p^\gamma \\
& + (\eta^{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta}\eta^{\alpha\gamma})p^{\gamma'}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.38}$$

and we can add to it an equal term

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (\eta^{\alpha\gamma}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma})q^\beta \\
& - (\eta^{\beta\gamma}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\beta\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma})p^\alpha \\
& - (\eta^{\beta\gamma}\eta^{\alpha\gamma'} + \eta^{\beta\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha\gamma})p^{\alpha'} \\
& - (\eta^{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta}\eta^{\alpha\gamma'})k^\gamma \\
& - (\eta^{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta}\eta^{\alpha\gamma})k^{\gamma'}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.39}$$

in order to get a symmetric expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
^{(1)}F^{\alpha\alpha'\beta\gamma\gamma'}(k, p, q) = & + (k - q)^\beta (\eta^{\alpha\gamma}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma}) \\
& + (q - p)^\alpha (\eta^{\beta\gamma}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\beta\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma}) \\
& + (q - p)^{\alpha'} (\eta^{\beta\gamma}\eta^{\alpha\gamma'} + \eta^{\beta\gamma'}\eta^{\alpha\gamma}) \\
& + (p - k)^\gamma (\eta^{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta}\eta^{\alpha\gamma'}) \\
& + (p - k)^{\gamma'} (\eta^{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\alpha'\gamma} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta}\eta^{\alpha\gamma}).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.40}$$

Substituting this result into the expression (7.30) with the terms in the reversed cyclic orientation $a, (\alpha, \alpha'), k \leftrightarrow c, (\gamma, \gamma'), q$, we get:

$$\mathcal{V}_{abc}^{\alpha\alpha'\beta\gamma\gamma'}(k, p, q) = \text{tr}([\lambda^a, \lambda^b]\lambda^c)F^{\alpha\alpha'\beta\gamma\gamma'}(k, p, q). \tag{7.41}$$

The remaining part of the vertex VTT (7.36), which is cubic in momenta, $^{(3)}F$ is

$$^{(3)}F^{\alpha\alpha'\beta\gamma\gamma'}(k, p, q) = 8\alpha' [-\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}k_3^\alpha k_1^\gamma k_2^\beta + \eta^{\beta\gamma'}k_3^\alpha k_2^{\alpha'} k_1^\gamma - \eta^{\beta\alpha'}k_2^\alpha k_3^\gamma k_1^{\gamma'}] \tag{7.42}$$

and can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
^{(3)}M_{string} = \varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha'}(k_1)e_\beta(k_3)\varepsilon_{\gamma\gamma'}(k_2) \ ^{(3)}F^{\alpha\alpha'\beta\gamma\gamma'}(k, p, q) = \\
8\alpha' [\frac{1}{2} (p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p) ((q - k) \cdot e_p) - (p \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot p)(k \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot e_p) + (q \cdot \varepsilon_k \cdot e_p)(p \cdot \varepsilon_q \cdot p)].
\end{aligned} \tag{7.43}$$

We can calculate its value in the limit considered in section 4, that gives

$${}^{(3)}M(+2, +1, -2)_{string} = \varepsilon_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(k_1)e_\beta(k_3)\varepsilon_{\gamma\gamma'}(k_2) {}^{(3)}F^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}'\beta\gamma\gamma'}(k, p, q) = 0, \quad (7.44)$$

because $k \cdot e_q = 0$, $p \cdot e_q = 0$. Its gauge variation under (3.10) $\delta e_p \sim p$ vanishes

$$\delta {}^{(3)}M_{string} = 0$$

and under (5.19) $\delta \varepsilon_k \sim k \otimes \xi + \xi \otimes k$ vanishes as well

$$\delta {}^{(3)}M_{string} = 0,$$

because of the same relations $k \cdot e_q = 0$, $p \cdot e_q = 0$.

The cubic in momenta part of the VTT vertex can be associated with an effective action which have higher derivative terms constructed by generalized field strength tensors (1.3). The gauge invariant effective action which is cubic in field strength tensors is

$$\mathcal{L}_\partial = G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}G_{\nu\rho}G_{\rho\mu,\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu}G_{\nu\rho,\lambda\lambda}G_{\rho\mu}. \quad (7.45)$$

Indeed, as one can easily check, its gauge variation vanishes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathcal{L}_\partial = & ([G_{\mu\nu,\lambda} \xi] + [G_{\mu\nu} \xi_\lambda])G_{\nu\rho}G_{\rho\mu,\lambda} + G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}[G_{\nu\rho} \xi]G_{\rho\mu,\lambda} + G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}G_{\nu\rho}([G_{\rho\mu,\lambda} \xi] + [G_{\rho\mu} \xi_\lambda]) + \\ & \frac{1}{2}\{[G_{\mu\nu} \xi]G_{\nu\rho,\lambda\lambda}G_{\rho\mu} + G_{\mu\nu}([G_{\nu\rho,\lambda\lambda} \xi] + 2[G_{\nu\rho,\lambda} \xi_\lambda] + [G_{\nu\rho} \xi_{\lambda\lambda}])G_{\rho\mu} + G_{\mu\nu}G_{\nu\rho,\lambda\lambda}[G_{\rho\mu} \xi]\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the second invariant we have found has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'_\partial = & -Tr(G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}G_{\nu\rho}G_{\rho\mu,\lambda}) + Tr(G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda}G_{\mu\nu}G_{\nu\rho,\rho}) + Tr(G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}G_{\mu\rho}G_{\rho\lambda,\nu}) + \\ & + Tr(G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\nu}G_{\rho\lambda,\lambda}) + Tr(G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\lambda}G_{\rho\lambda,\nu}) + Tr(G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\lambda}G_{\rho\nu,\lambda}) + \\ & + Tr(G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda}G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\nu\rho}) + Tr(G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}G_{\mu\rho,\lambda}G_{\rho\nu}) + Tr(G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}G_{\mu\rho,\nu}G_{\rho\lambda}) + \\ & + 2Tr(G_{\mu\nu}G_{\nu\lambda,\rho\mu}G_{\rho\lambda}) - Tr(G_{\mu\nu}G_{\nu\rho,\lambda\lambda}G_{\rho\mu}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.46)$$

Acknowledgements

The work of (I.A.) was supported in part by the European Commission under the ERC Advanced Grant 226371 and in part by the CNRS grant GRC APIC PICS 3747. The work of (G.S.) was partially supported by ENRAGE (European Network on Random Geometry), a Marie Curie Research Training Network, contract MRTN-CT-2004- 005616.

Appendix

Let us consider four terms of the interaction vertex VTT. We have

$$\prod_{i < j} |y_i - y_j|^{2\alpha' k_i k_j} = |y_{12}|^2 \quad (7.47)$$

because the mass-shell conditions are $\alpha' k_1^2 = \alpha' k_2^2 = -1$, $\alpha' k_3^2 = 0$, implying that $k_1 \cdot k_3 = k_1 \cdot k_3 = 0$, $2\alpha' k_1 \cdot k_2 = -\alpha' (k_1^2 + k_2^2) = 2$. The first term gives

$$\begin{aligned} & y_{12}^2 <: ce^{-\phi} \psi^\alpha \dot{X}^{\alpha'} e^{ik_1 X}(y_1) : : ce^{-\phi} \psi^\gamma \dot{X}^{\gamma'} e^{ik_2 X}(y_2) : : c(\dot{X}^\beta - 2i\alpha' k_3 \cdot \psi \psi^\beta) e^{ik_3 X}(y_3) :> = \\ & = y_{12}^2 y_{12} y_{23} y_{13} y_{12}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\eta^{\alpha\gamma}}{y_{12}} [F_{y_1}^{\alpha'} F_{y_2}^{\gamma'} F_{y_3}^\beta - 2\alpha' [F_{y_1}^{\alpha'} \frac{\eta^{\gamma'\beta}}{y_{23}^2} + F_{y_2}^{\gamma'} \frac{\eta^{\alpha'\beta}}{y_{13}^2} + F_{y_3}^\beta \frac{\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2}] - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2i\alpha' [F_{y_1}^{\alpha'} F_{y_2}^{\gamma'} - 2\alpha' \frac{\eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2}] [\frac{\eta^{\alpha\beta} k_3^\gamma}{y_{13} y_{23}} - \frac{\eta^{\gamma\beta} k_3^\alpha}{y_{13} y_{23}}] \right\} = \\ & = (-2i\alpha')^3 [\eta^{\alpha\gamma} k_1^\beta + \eta^{\alpha\beta} k_3^\gamma + \eta^{\gamma\beta} k_2^\alpha] k_2^{\alpha'} k_3^{\gamma'} + \\ & \quad + i(2\alpha')^2 [\eta^{\alpha\gamma} (\eta^{\beta\gamma'} k_2^{\alpha'} + \eta^{\alpha'\beta} k_3^{\gamma'} + \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} k_1^\beta) + \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'} (\eta^{\alpha\beta} k_3^\gamma + \eta^{\beta\gamma} k_2^\alpha)] \quad (7.48) \end{aligned}$$

The second term gives

$$\begin{aligned} & y_{12}^2 < ce^{-\phi} \psi^\alpha \dot{X}^{\alpha'} e^{ik_1 X}(y_1) ce^{-\phi} \psi^\gamma (-2i\alpha') k_2 \cdot \psi \psi^{\gamma'} e^{ik_2 X}(y_2) c(\dot{X}^\beta - 2i\alpha' k_3 \cdot \psi \psi^\beta) e^{ik_3 X}(y_3) > = \\ & = y_{12}^2 y_{12} y_{23} y_{13} y_{12}^{-1} (-2i\alpha')^2 F_{y_1}^{\alpha'} \left[\frac{\eta^{\alpha\gamma}}{y_{12}} \left(-\frac{k_2 \cdot k_3 \eta^{\beta\gamma'}}{y_{23}^2} + \frac{k_2^\beta k_3^{\gamma'}}{y_{23}^2} \right) - \frac{k_2^\alpha}{y_{12}} \left(-\frac{k_3^\gamma \eta^{\beta\gamma'}}{y_{23}^2} + \frac{k_3^{\gamma'} \eta^{\beta\gamma}}{y_{23}^2} \right) + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\eta^{\alpha\gamma'}}{y_{12}} \left(-\frac{k_3^\gamma k_2^\beta}{y_{23}^2} + \frac{k_2 \cdot k_3 \eta^{\beta\gamma}}{y_{23}^2} \right) \right] = \\ & = (-2i\alpha')^3 k_2^{\alpha'} [\eta^{\alpha\gamma} k_2^\beta k_3^{\gamma'} + \eta^{\beta\gamma'} k_2^\alpha k_3^\gamma - \eta^{\beta\gamma} k_2^\alpha k_3^{\gamma'} - \eta^{\alpha\gamma'} k_2^\beta k_3^\gamma + k_2 \cdot k_3 (\eta^{\alpha\gamma'} \eta^{\beta\gamma} - \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\beta\gamma'})] \quad (7.49) \end{aligned}$$

The third one gives

$$\begin{aligned} & y_{12}^2 < ce^{-\phi} \psi^\alpha (-2i\alpha') k_1 \cdot \psi \psi^{\alpha'} e^{ik_1 X}(y_1) ce^{-\phi} \psi^\gamma \dot{X}^{\gamma'} e^{ik_2 X}(y_2) c(\dot{X}^\beta - 2i\alpha' k_3 \cdot \psi \psi^\beta) e^{ik_3 X}(y_3) > = \\ & = y_{12}^2 y_{12} y_{23} y_{13} y_{12}^{-1} (-2i\alpha')^2 F_{y_2}^{\gamma'} \left[\frac{\eta^{\alpha\gamma}}{y_{12}} \left(-\frac{k_1 \cdot k_3 \eta^{\beta\alpha'}}{y_{13}^2} + \frac{k_1^\beta k_3^{\alpha'}}{y_{13}^2} \right) - \frac{k_1^\gamma}{y_{12}} \left(-\frac{k_3^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'\beta}}{y_{13}^2} + \frac{k_3^{\alpha'} \eta^{\alpha\beta}}{y_{13}^2} \right) + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\eta^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}} \left(-\frac{k_1^\beta k_3^\alpha}{y_{13}^2} + \frac{k_1 \cdot k_3 \eta^{\alpha\beta}}{y_{13}^2} \right) \right] = \\ & = (-2i\alpha')^3 k_3^{\gamma'} [\eta^{\alpha\gamma} k_1^\beta k_3^{\alpha'} - \eta^{\alpha\beta} k_3^{\alpha'} k_1^\gamma + \eta^{\beta\alpha'} k_3^\alpha k_1^\gamma - \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} k_1^\beta k_3^\alpha + k_1 \cdot k_3 (\eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma} - \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\beta})] \quad (7.50) \end{aligned}$$

and the last forth term gives

$$y_{12}^2 < ce^{-\phi} \psi^\alpha (-2i\alpha') k_1 \cdot \psi \psi^{\alpha'} e^{ik_1 X}(y_1) ce^{-\phi} \psi^\gamma (-2i\alpha') k_2 \cdot \psi \psi^{\gamma'} c(\dot{X}^\beta - 2i\alpha' k_3 \cdot \psi \psi^\beta) e^{ik_3 X}(y_3) > =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= y_{12}^2 y_{12} y_{23} y_{13} y_{12}^{-1} (-2i\alpha')^3 \\
&\left\{ \frac{F_{y_3}^\beta}{(-2i\alpha')} \left[\frac{\eta^{\alpha\gamma}}{y_{12}} \left(-\frac{k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} + \frac{k_1^{\gamma'} k_2^{\alpha'}}{y_{12}^2} \right) - \frac{k_2^\alpha}{y_{12}} \left(-\frac{k_1^\gamma \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} + \frac{k_1^{\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} \right) + \frac{\eta^{\alpha\gamma'}}{y_{12}} \left(-\frac{k_1^\gamma k_2^{\alpha'}}{y_{12}^2} + \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} \right) \right] \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{k_3^\alpha}{y_{13}} \left[\frac{\eta^{\beta\gamma}}{y_{23}} \left(-\frac{k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} + \frac{k_1^{\gamma'} k_2^{\alpha'}}{y_{12}^2} \right) - \frac{k_2^\beta}{y_{23}} \left(-\frac{k_1^\gamma \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} + \frac{k_1^{\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} \right) + \frac{\eta^{\beta\gamma'}}{y_{23}} \left(-\frac{k_1^\gamma k_2^{\alpha'}}{y_{12}^2} + \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{k_3^{\alpha'}}{y_{13}} \left[\frac{\eta^{\beta\gamma}}{y_{23}} \left(\frac{k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} - \frac{k_1^{\gamma'} k_2^{\alpha'}}{y_{12}^2} \right) - \frac{k_2^\beta}{y_{23}} \left(-\frac{k_1^\gamma \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} + \frac{k_1^{\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} \right) + \frac{\eta^{\beta\gamma'}}{y_{23}} \left(\frac{k_1^\gamma k_2^{\alpha'}}{y_{12}^2} - \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{k_3^\gamma}{y_{23}} \left[\frac{\eta^{\alpha\beta}}{y_{13}} \left(-\frac{k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} + \frac{k_1^{\gamma'} k_2^{\alpha'}}{y_{12}^2} \right) - \frac{k_1^\beta}{y_{13}} \left(-\frac{k_2^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} + \frac{k_2^{\alpha'} \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} \right) + \frac{\eta^{\beta\alpha'}}{y_{13}} \left(-\frac{k_1^{\gamma'} k_2^{\alpha'}}{y_{12}^2} + \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{k_3^{\gamma'}}{y_{23}} \left[\frac{\eta^{\alpha\beta}}{y_{13}} \left(\frac{k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} - \frac{k_1^\gamma k_2^{\alpha'}}{y_{12}^2} \right) - \frac{k_1^\beta}{y_{13}} \left(\frac{k_2^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} - \frac{k_2^{\alpha'} \eta^{\alpha\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} \right) + \frac{\eta^{\beta\alpha'}}{y_{13}} \left(\frac{k_1^\gamma k_2^{\alpha'}}{y_{12}^2} - \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{k_1 \cdot k_3}{y_{13}} \left[\frac{\eta^{\beta\gamma}}{y_{23}} \left(\frac{k_2^{\alpha'} \eta_1^{\alpha\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} - \frac{k_2^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} \right) - \frac{k_2^\beta}{y_{23}} \left(-\frac{\eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} + \frac{\eta^{\alpha'\gamma} \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} \right) + \frac{\eta^{\beta\gamma'}}{y_{23}} \left(\frac{k_2^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} - \frac{k_2^{\alpha'} \eta^{\alpha\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{k_2 \cdot k_3}{y_{23}} \left[\frac{\eta^{\alpha\beta}}{y_{13}} \left(\frac{k_1^{\gamma'} \eta_1^{\alpha'\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} - \frac{k_1^\gamma \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} \right) - \frac{k_1^\beta}{y_{13}} \left(-\frac{\eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} + \frac{\eta^{\alpha'\gamma} \eta^{\alpha\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} \right) + \frac{\eta^{\beta\alpha'}}{y_{13}} \left(\frac{k_1^\gamma \eta^{\alpha'\gamma'}}{y_{12}^2} - \frac{k_1^{\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha\gamma}}{y_{12}^2} \right) \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

After some simple algebra, one can get the expressions given in the main text.

References

- [1] A. Neveu and J. Scherk, *Connection between Yang-Mills fields and dual models*, Nucl. Phys. B **36** (1972) 155.
- [2] T. Yoneya, *Connection of Dual Models to Electrodynamics and Gravidynamics*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **51** (1974) 1907.
- [3] T. Yoneya, *Gravity from strings: personal reminiscence on early developments*, arXiv:0901.0079 [hep-th].
- [4] J. Scherk and J. H. Schwarz, *Dual Models For Nonhadrons*, Nucl. Phys. B **81** (1974) 118.
- [5] J. Scherk and J. H. Schwarz, *Dual Models And The Geometry Of Space-Time*, Phys. Lett. B **52** (1974) 347.

- [6] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring theory. Vol. 1: Introduction*, Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (1987) 469 P. (Cambridge Monographs On Mathematical Physics)
- [7] J. Polchinski, *String theory. Vol. 1: An introduction to the bosonic string*, Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (1998) 402 p
- [8] E. Witten, *Noncommutative Geometry And String Field Theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **268** (1986) 253.
- [9] C. B. Thorn, *Comments On Covariant Formulations Of String Theories*, Phys. Lett. **159B** (1985) 107; *String Field Theory*, Phys. Rep. **174C** (1989) 1
- [10] W. Siegel and B. Zwiebach, *Gauge String Fields*, Nucl. Phys. B **263** (1986) 105.
- [11] I. Y. Arefeva, P. B. Medvedev and A. P. Zubarev, *New Representation For String Field Solves The Consistence Problem For Open Superstring Field*, Nucl. Phys. B **341** (1990) 464.
- [12] W. Siegel, *Introduction to string field theory*, arXiv:hep-th/0107094.
- [13] W. Taylor and B. Zwiebach, *D-branes, tachyons, and string field theory*, arXiv:hep-th/0311017 (see formulas (201) and (202) of section 6.5).
- [14] W. Taylor, *String field theory*, arXiv:hep-th/0605202.
- [15] J.Schwinger, *Particles, Sources, and Fields* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1970)
- [16] S. Weinberg, *Feynman Rules For Any Spin*, Phys. Rev. **133** (1964) B1318.
- [17] S. Weinberg, *Feynman Rules For Any Spin. 2: Massless Particles*, Phys. Rev. **134** (1964) B882.
- [18] S. Weinberg, *Photons And Gravitons In S Matrix Theory: Derivation Of Charge Conservation And Equality Of Gravitational And Inertial Mass*, Phys. Rev. **135** (1964) B1049.
- [19] S. J. Chang, *Lagrange Formulation for Systems with Higher Spin*, Phys.Rev. **161** (1967) 1308

- [20] L. P. S. Singh and C. R. Hagen, *Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. I. The boson case*, Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 898
- [21] L. P. S. Singh and C. R. Hagen, *Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. II. The fermion case* Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 898, 910
- [22] C.Fronsdal, *Massless fields with integer spin*, Phys.Rev. **D18** (1978) 3624
- [23] J.Fang and C.Fronsdal, *Massless fields with half-integral spin*, Phys. Rev. **D18** (1978) 3630
- [24] A. K. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and L. Brink, *Cubic Interaction Terms For Arbitrary Spin*, Nucl. Phys. B **227** (1983) 31.
- [25] A. K. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and L. Brink, *Cubic Interaction Terms For Arbitrarily Extended Supermultiplets*, Nucl. Phys. B **227** (1983) 41.
- [26] A. K. H. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and N. Linden, *Interacting Higher Spin Gauge Fields on the Light Front*, Class. Quant. Grav. **4** (1987) 1333.
- [27] F. A. Berends, G. J. H. Burgers and H. van Dam, *On The Theoretical Problems In Constructing Interactions Involving Higher Spin Massless Particles*, Nucl. Phys. B **260** (1985) 295.
- [28] T. Curtright, *Generalized Gauge Fields*, Phys. Lett. **B165** (1985) 304
- [29] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla and M. A. Vasiliev, *Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions*, arXiv:hep-th/0503128.
- [30] M. A. Vasiliev, *Actions, charges and off-shell fields in the unfolded dynamics approach*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **3** (2006) 37
- [31] D. Francia and A. Sagnotti, *Higher-spin geometry and string theory*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **33** (2006) 57 [arXiv:hep-th/0601199].
- [32] N. Bouatta, G. Compere and A. Sagnotti, *An introduction to free higher-spin fields*, arXiv:hep-th/0409068.

- [33] A. Sagnotti, E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, *On higher spins with a strong $Sp(2,R)$ condition*, arXiv:hep-th/0501156.
- [34] X. Bekaert, N. Boulanger, S. Cnockaert and S. Leclercq, *On Killing tensors and cubic vertices in higher-spin gauge theories*, Fortsch. Phys. **54** (2006) 282 [arXiv:hep-th/0602092].
- [35] X. Bekaert, N. Boulanger and S. Cnockaert, *Spin three gauge theory revisited*, JHEP **0601** (2006) 052 [arXiv:hep-th/0508048].
- [36] R. R. Metsaev, *Cubic interaction vertices of massive and massless higher spin fields*, Nucl. Phys. B **759** (2006) 147
- [37] J. E. Paton and H. M. Chan, *Generalized veneziano model with isospin*, Nucl. Phys. B **10** (1969) 516.
- [38] H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen and S. H. H. Tye, *A Relation Between Tree Amplitudes Of Closed And Open Strings*, Nucl. Phys. B **269** (1986) 1.
- [39] I. Antoniadis and S. Hohenegger, *Topological amplitudes and physical couplings in string theory*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **171** (2007) 176 [arXiv:hep-th/0701290].
- [40] I. Antoniadis, S. Hohenegger, K. S. Narain and E. Sokatchev, *A New Class of $N=2$ Topological Amplitudes*, arXiv:0905.3629 [hep-th].
- [41] I. Antoniadis, S. Hohenegger and K. S. Narain, *$N = 4$ topological amplitudes and string effective action*, Nucl. Phys. B **771** (2007) 40 [arXiv:hep-th/0610258].
- [42] G. Savvidy, *Non-Abelian tensor gauge fields: Generalization of Yang-Mills theory*, Phys. Lett. B **625** (2005) 341
- [43] G. Savvidy, *Non-abelian tensor gauge fields. I*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21** (2006) 4931;
- [44] G. Savvidy, *Non-abelian tensor gauge fields. II*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21** (2006) 4959;
- [45] G. Savvidy, *Non-Abelian tensor gauge fields and higher-spin extension of standard model*, Fortsch. Phys. **54** (2006) 472

- [46] G. Savvidy, *Connection between non-Abelian tensor gauge fields and open strings*, J. Phys. A **42** (2009) 065403
- [47] F. A. Berends, R. Kleiss, P. De Causmaecker, R. Gastmans and T. T. Wu, *Single Bremsstrahlung Processes In Gauge Theories*, Phys. Lett. B **103** (1981) 124.
- [48] L. J. Dixon, *Calculating scattering amplitudes efficiently*, arXiv:hep-ph/9601359.
- [49] S. J. Parke and T. R. Taylor, *An Amplitude for n Gluon Scattering*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** (1986) 2459.
- [50] E. Witten, *Perturbative gauge theory as a string theory in twistor space*, Commun. Math. Phys. **252** (2004) 189
- [51] R. Britto, F. Cachazo and B. Feng, *New recursion relations for tree amplitudes of gluons*, Nucl. Phys. B **715** (2005) 499
- [52] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, B. Feng and E. Witten, *Direct proof of tree-level recursion relation in Yang-Mills theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005) 181602 [arXiv:hep-th/0501052].
- [53] P. Benincasa and F. Cachazo, *Consistency Conditions on the S-Matrix of Massless Particles*, arXiv:0705.4305 [hep-th].